

## **Decision Support Summary**

Cheshire and Merseyside Area Prescribing Group

### Subgroup proposal

Proposal	Notes	Approval
Add the drug and indication as a human readable hyperlink.	[optional] Date of NICE TA publication: date [optional] Approval for implementation: 30 or 90	<b>MOP</b> : start with the meeting date and add relevant commentary.
Add the RAG designation.	days	[optional] <b>FIRC/CEG</b> : start with the meeting date and add relevant commentary
APG subgroup: meeting date  APG: meeting date	[optional] <b>Deadline for implementation</b> : date  Brief summary of the most important reasoning.	
The C. Mooting date	Include costings and links to other information if applicable.	

#### Recommendation

What is the 'ask'?

#### Rationale

How did we come to this decision?

Is it a new therapy for a gap in treatment or a 'better' new therapy?

Why 'this' argument vs 'that' argument?

Are there other options?

Why were the other options not used and what are the consequences.

What is the impact on therapy?

Supporting information				
Additional facts useful to understanding in order of importance.				
What has been considered?				
APG decision				
Assurance of process and all relevant factors considered	□ Yes	□No	□ Not applicable	
This submission is supported for ICB approval	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable	
The proposed RAG designation is supported	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable	
Declarations of interest have been managed	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
Comments:				
Declarations of interest:				
APG subgroup summary				
Formal application submitted and prioritised	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable	
Formulary status (RAG) agreed	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable	
Consultation feedback addressed	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable	
Declarations of interest managed	□ Yes	□No	□ Not applicable	
Comments:				
Declarations of interest:				
Implementation				
Implementation requirements identified	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
Impact on existing workload, existing pathways, or expertise considered	□ Yes	□No	☐ Not applicable	

ScriptSwitch message developed	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable
Impact monitoring identified	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Access for the whole of Cheshire and Merseyside is equitable	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Border issues considered	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Workforce capacity considered	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Governance requirements or prescribing restrictions identified	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable
Delivery of a net zero carbon NHS is supported	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable
ICB ability to meet its statutory requirements considered	□ Yes	□ No	□ Not applicable
Comments:	I		
Appropriateness			
Outcomes identified	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Aligned with ICB and local priorities	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Safety concerns identified	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Patient factors identified	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Place in therapy identified	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Effect on health inequalities considered	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Effect on protected groups considered	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Comments: (include place in therapy and any safety mitigations)	l l		
Effectiveness			
Evidence for clinical effectiveness reviewed	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Evidence for cost-effectiveness reviewed	□ Yes	□No	☐ Not applicable

The submission supported by national or local commissioning policy	□ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Comments:			
Financial considerations			
Drug savings and costs assessed	□ Yes	□No	□ Not applicable
Additional savings and costs assessed	□ Yes	□No	□ Not applicable
Comments:			

# RAG criteria

DNP medicines must meet at least one of the following criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
Lack of data on safety compared with standard therapy		
Known excess of significant adverse events compared with standard therapy		
Lack of data on cost-effectiveness compared with standard therapy		
Less cost-effective than current standard therapy		
Not accepted as cost effective compared to other service development opportunities		
No significant advantage over currently supported therapy		
Discontinued less than (removed from formulary) 12-months ago.		
Medicines that NICE has not recommended for use and terminated technology appraisals, unless there is a local need.		

RED medicines must satisfy any of the following criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
Requiring specialist assessment to enable patient selection, initiation and on-going treatment.		
Requires long term on-going monitoring of efficacy by a specialist.		
Requires long term on-going monitoring of toxicity by a specialist (either because of difficulty in recognising side effects, or problematic or high cost investigations to identify toxicity).		
Specifically designated as "hospital only" by product licence, by Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) or British National Formulary (BNF).		
Where a medicine has been classified as Shared Care but a Shared Care Agreement has not been approved by the Cheshire and Merseyside Area Prescribing Group.		

This is a temporary situation; a shared care framework must be produced within a reasonable timescale	
Medicines which require preparation by the hospital pharmacy, unless an acceptable procedure for supply through a community pharmacy and/or community services pharmacy can be arranged.	
Where the administration requirements of a medicine makes it unsuitable for use in Primary Care.	

PURPLE shared care drugs must meet all the criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
Requires specialist assessment to enable patient selection and also initiation, stabilisation and review of treatment and the patient's condition.		
Prescribing and/or management of the drug in Primary Care with specialist support and input, within the framework of the Shared Care Agreement is safe and convenient and that there is an appropriate mechanism for individual patient access in Primary Care.		
Requires specific long-term monitoring (blood test or other measurement) for adverse effects and / or efficacy of the drug to be completed in Primary Care, and requires ongoing specialist support for the dose changes or management of adverse effects. Monitoring is required on a regular basis (typically four times a year).		

AMBER PATIENT RETAINED drugs must meet all the criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
May require occasional specialist input indefinitely and therefore the patient should not be discharged from specialist care.		
Requires short to medium term specialist prescribing and monitoring of efficacy or toxicity until the patient's dose is stable		
Following specialist assessment, the medicine is suitable for prescribing in Primary Care.		
Requires specialist assessment to enable patient selection.		

AMBER INITIATED drugs must meet all the criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
Requires short to medium term specialist prescribing and monitoring of efficacy or toxicity until the patient's dose is stable		
Following specialist assessment, the medicine is suitable for prescribing in Primary Care.		
Requires specialist assessment to enable patient selection.		

AMBER RECOMMENDED drugs must meet all the criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
Following specialist assessment, the medicine is suitable for prescribing in Primary Care.		
Requires specialist assessment to enable patient selection.		

GREEN drugs must meet all the criteria	Applies (Y/N)	Notes
Medicines for which Primary Care prescribers are able to take full responsibility for initiating and on-going prescribing. Local prescribing guidelines or NICE guidance may apply.		
Medicines are in routine use and can be prescribed within Primary and Secondary Care with no special restrictions, specialist knowledge or experience. This includes both licensed and documented unlicensed medicines.		